

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/713,460	11/14/2003	John Allen Wooton	9444	9143	
27752	27752 7590 03/25/2005			EXAMINER	
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224			CINTINS, IVARS C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1724		
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/713,460	WOOTON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this convenient is	Ivars C. Cintins	1724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 De	ecember 2004.					
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims .						
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/23/2004. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/713,460

Art Unit: 1724

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barger et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,562,142) in view of Clack et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,320,752) or Williamson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,571,960). As pointed out in the previous Office action, Barger et al. discloses a sprayer assembly comprising a purifier cartridge 50 of the type recited (see col. 9, lines 1-9). Accordingly, this primary reference discloses the claimed invention with the exception of the recited connector structure. Clack et al. and Williamson et al. each disclose connectors for water purification cartridges, which connectors include a flexible material, an insertion aid, and a hollow post (see Fig. 6 and col. 7, lines 45-59 of Clack et al.; and Figs. 3A-5 and col. 6, line 32 through col. 7, line 21 of Williamson et al.). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the sprayer assembly of Barger et al. with the quick connect couplers of either Clack et al. or Williamson et al., in order to permit easy replacement of the purifier element in this primary reference assembly. Also, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ inlet and outlet openings having the diameter recited in claim 11 in the thus modified primary reference assembly, in order to ensure that a sufficient amount of water can be dispensed from this device without creating an unacceptable pressure drop. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to space the inlet and outlet of the purifier cartridge at the distance recited in

Application/Control Number: 10/713,460

Art Unit: 1724

claim 12, in order to ensure that they will mate with the connectors of the modified primary reference assembly.

Applicant's arguments filed December 23, 2004 have been noted and carefully considered but are not deemed to be persuasive of patentability. Applicant has submitted a Declaration, under 37 CFR § 1.131, by Richard L. Horstman in an attempt to establish that the invention described in claims 1 and 15 was reduced to practice prior to May 13, 2003. This declaration has been carefully considered, but is ineffective to overcome the Barger et al. reference or the Williamson et al. reference because it does not establish invention of the subject matter of the rejected claims prior to the effective date of the reference on which the rejection is based. Applicant should note that the effective date of a U.S. patent, U.S. patent application publication, or international application publication under PCT Article 21(2) is the earlier of its publication date or date that it is effective as a reference under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). The Barger et al. reference has an effective date not later than its filing date of October 5, 2001; and the Williamson et al. reference has an effective date not later than its filing date of April 16, 2001.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1724

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to I. Cintins whose telephone number is (571) 272-1155. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Duane Smith, can be reached at (571) 272-1166.

The centralized facsimile number for the USPTO is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ivars C. Cintins
Primary Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 1724

I. Cintins March 20, 2005